



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ess: COMMISSIONER FO	R PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 2231	3-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/545,455	04/07/2000	Gathen Garcia	7910-0049-13	2353		
22850	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPI 1940 DUKE S	SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		GARCIA OTERO, EDUARDO			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2123			
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	· /		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

				.		_	
				Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)	
	0.65	A - 4' O		09/545,455		GARCIA ET AL.	
	Οπις	Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit	
				Eduardo Garcia-Otero		2123	
Period fo		LING DATE of this c mmu	unication app	ears on the c ver sheet w	ith the c	orrespondence ad	aress
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any rearne	MAILING Desires of time results (6) MONTI to period for reply period for reply reto reply within reply received by	DATE OF THIS COMMUI nay be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this corty y specified above is less than thirty y is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for rej	NICATION. Ins of 37 CFR 1.13 Immunication. In (30) days, a reply statutory period we ply will, by statute, a after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M 6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become Al date of this communication, even if	reply be time rty (30) days NTHS from t BANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status	D		"laslas 07 4				
·	-	ve to communication(s) f					
/		n is FINAL .	<i>'</i> —	action is non-final.			
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Clai	ms					
•		1-21 is/are pending in the					
	•	above claim(s) is	/are withdrav	n from consideration.			
		is/are allowed.					
•		1-21 is/are rejected.					
·		is/are objected to.	riation and/a	olootion requirement			
		are subject to rest	riction and/or	election requirement.			
	ion Papers						
· —	•	ication is objected to by					
10)		- · · ·	-	epted or b) objected to	•		
	•			drawing(s) be held in abeya			CD 4 404/4)
111	•	• , ,	-	on is required if the drawing			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
		•	f f	majority condon 25 11 C.C.	C 440(a)) (d) == (f)	
* 5 13)	☐ All b)☐ 1.☐ Cer 2.☐ Cer 3.☐ Cop app See the atta Acknowledg ince a spec 7 CFR 1.78) ☐ The tr Acknowledg	Some * c) None of tified copies of the priorit tified copies of the priorit pies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies detailed Office act grant is made of a claim cific reference was included. B. anslation of the foreign is grant is made of a claim	ty documents ty documents s of the prior tional Bureau tion for a list of for domestic ded in the firs anguage pro	have been received in A ity documents have beer	Application received \$ 119(ecation or been received \$ \$ 120	on Nod in this National d. c) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of Draftspe	es Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) D Notice of I		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC	

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION: Non-Final (first action on the merits)

Introduction

- 1. Title is: UTILITY STATION AUTOMATED DESIGN SYSTEM AND METHOD
- 2. First named inventor is: GARCIA
- 3. Claims 1-21 have been submitted, examined, and rejected.
- 4. Priority is claimed to provisional application filed 4/9/99.

Index of Prior Art

- 5. **Shumaker** refers to AutoCAD and its applications Advanced Release 14", by Terence M. Shumaker et. al., The Goodheart-Willcox Company, Inc., May 1998.
- 6. Young refers to US Patent 6,038,567.

35 USC § 112-Second Paragraph-indefinite claims

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. In claim 1, the term "an association mechanism that applies the symbols to the predefined layouts to generate a facility drawing set" is not clearly defined. There is some discussion of "associated with" at page 4 line 20, and again at at page 11 line 24, but these discussions do not appear related to said term in claim 1.
- 10. Also in claim 1, the term "configured to store a plurality of symbols" is not clearly defined. It is not clear if said term means that the symbols are actually stored, or if the memory is organized/configured in some way as to facilitate future storing. Possibly an empty file is created to store symbols at a later time.
- 11. Again in claim 1, "configured to display a plurality of predefined layouts of the facility" is not clearly defined. Similar to the above discussion regarding storage, it is not clear whether some display is presently required, or whether some arrangement is made to facilitate future displaying.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/545,455

Art Unit: 2123

- 12. In claim 4, "configured to run" is not clearly defined. See above discussions regarding storage and display.
- 13. In claim 7, the term "hierarchically arranged with respect to the layers" is not adequately defined. No hierarchy has been defined with respect to layers. See "overlaid in layers" at page 4 line 21.
- 14. In claims 10 and 16, the term "associating the symbols with the selected facility layout" is not clear. Note that claims 10 and 16 additionally have the term "overlaying the symbols onto the selected facility layout", and it is not clear how these terms are distinct. In view of the specification, both of these terms appear to mean merely "inserting" or "placing" or "adding" the symbol into the layout. The terms "associating" and "overlaying" generally have different (and more complex) meanings than merely inserting. Note claim 1 uses the term "applies the symbols". The terminology in the claims should be consistent, with the same words having the same meaning, and with different words having different meanings. Also see specification page 8 for discussion of layers.
- 15. In claim 13, "processing the plurality of attributes using at least one of a database application and a spreadsheet application" is not clear. The term "processing" is not clear in the context of "attributes". It is not clear what types of processes may be performed upon said attributes.
- 16. All dependent claims are rejected for the same reasons as their respective independent claims 1, 10, and 16. Thus all claims (1-21) are rejected as indefinite.

Claim Interpretation

- 17. The claim language is interpreted in light of the specification. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 18. The Examiner hereby interprets the terms "predefined layout" (claim 1) as equivalent to "standard facility layout" (claim 10) throughout the claims.

35 USC § 103

19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Application/Control Number: 09/545,455 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 20. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 21. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable.
- 22. <u>Claim 1 is rejected</u> under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shumaker in view of Young.
- 23. Claim 1 is an independent "method" claim with 4 limitations, labeled by the Examiner for clarity.
- 24. [1]-"a storage mechanism configured to store plurality of symbols, the symbols representing components of the facility" is disclosed by Shumaker page 487 "save the menu file" and page 481 Figure 12-2 "ANSI MechanicalTM", and page 486 Figure 21.7 "Symbols", and page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings", and page 478 "image tile menu of a symbol library that you use in a specific discipline of drafting or design... Structural... Piping... Electrical..." Note that these files and symbols and drawings and menus are inherently saved by AutoCAD in a storage mechanism.
- 25. [2]-"a server coupled to the storage mechanism and configured to display" is disclosed by Shumaker page 348 "Internet" and "network" and "Internet Service Provider".
- 26. [4]-"the server being loaded with an association mechanism that applies the symbols to the predefined layouts to generate a facility drawing set" is disclosed by Shumaker page 3 "AutoCAD... Release 14". Note that AutoCAD commercial software may be loaded into a stand-alone computer, or into a server of a network.
- 27. Shumaker does not expressly disclose the remaining limitation.
- 28. [3]-"a plurality of predefined layouts" is disclosed by Young "each predefined layout" at Abstract, and "wizard" and "predefined layout" at column 1 line 18.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/545,455

Art Unit: 2123

- 29. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Young to modify Shumaker. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated reduce AutoCAD design time by beginning with a predefined layout or template, thus eliminating "repetitious editorial changes" per Young column 2 line 10. Additionally, the use of templates tends to standardize the designs, and thus increase productivity and reduce errors.
- 30. <u>Claims 2-9 are rejected</u> under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shumaker in view of Young.
- 31. In claim 2, "stores a plurality of attributes corresponding to the symbols" is disclosed by Shumaker page 386, "In ASE, a link is a connection between a graphic object in the AutoCAD drawing and a row from a table within a database. You can select an object in the drawing, such as a computer, and have immediate access to all of the information stored in the database about that computer. Using ASE and the ASE LINKS command, you can create, delete, view and edit links" and page 389 "displayable attributes".
- 32. In claim 3, "at least one of cost estimate and a materials list" is disclosed by Shumaker page 323 "materials list" and page 386 "database". Note that in the context of AutoCAD, the term "materials list" at page 323 has a very specific meaning (the types of materials used). Alternately, in the construction industry, the term "materials list" indicates a list of parts and quantities that will be needed for a construction, and would be contained in the "database" referenced at page 386. It is not clear which type of "materials list" is intended by the Applicant, but both types are disclosed by Schumaker.
- 33. In claim 4, "at least one of a database application and a spreadsheet application to process the plurality of attributes" is disclosed by Shumaker page 386 "database".
- 34. In claim 5, "the storage mechanism is accessible by a plurality of user stations" is disclosed by Shumaker page 348 "Internet" and "network".
- 35. In claim 6, "a plurality of dialog boxes to guide selection of the symbols" is disclosed by Shumaker page 535 "Programmable dialog boxes can be used to completely customize the interface of your AutoLISP programs... Using dialog boxes improves efficiency and reduces data entry errors".

Application/Control Number: 09/545,455 Page 6

Art Unit: 2123

36. In claim 7, "the plurality of dialog boxes are hierarchically arranged with respect to layers of the facility drawing set" is disclosed by Shumaker page 535 "Programmable dialog boxes can be used to completely customize the interface of your AutoLISP programs... Using dialog boxes improves efficiency and reduces data entry errors" and page 255 "layer", and page 477 "cascading submenus" and page 478 "You can call additional image tile menus from the initial one", and page 473 "branching".

- 37. In claim 8, "at least one of a utility facility, a water facility, and a gas facility" is disclosed by Shumaker page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings".
- 38. In claim 9, "the facility drawing set comprises construction drawings" is disclosed by Shumaker page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings".
- 39. MOTIVATION FOR CLAIMS 2-9. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Young to modify Shumaker. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated reduce AutoCAD design time by beginning with a predefined layout or template, thus eliminating "repetitious editorial changes" per Young column 2 line 10. Additionally, the use of templates tends to standardize the designs, and thus increase productivity and reduce errors.
- 40. <u>Claim 10 is rejected</u> under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shumaker in view of Young.
- 41. Claim 10 is an independent "method" claim with 5 limitations, labeled by the Examiner for clarity.
- 42. [2]-"retrieving a plurality of symbols from a symbol database based upon the selecting step, the symbols representing components of the facility" is disclosed by Shumaker page 481 Figure 12-2 "ANSI MechanicalTM", and page 486 Figure 21.7 "Symbols", and page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings", and page 478 "image tile menu of a symbol library that you use in a specific discipline of drafting or design... Structural... Piping... Electrical..."
- 43. [3]-"associating the symbols with the selected facility layout" is disclosed by Shumaker page 477 "insert a variety of blocks, or drawing files of symbols. These symbols can be used for any drawing discipline that you use."

Art Unit: 2123

- 44. [4]-"overlaying the symbols onto the selected facility layout" is disclosed by Shumaker page 477 "insert a variety of blocks, or drawing files of symbols. These symbols can be used for any drawing discipline that you use."
- 45. [5]-"generating a facility drawing set based upon the overlaying step" is disclosed by Shumaker page 477 "insert a variety of blocks, or drawing files of symbols. These symbols can be used for any drawing discipline that you use."
- 46. Shumaker does not expressly disclose the remaining limitation.
- 47. [1]-"selecting a facility layout from a plurality of standard facility layouts" is disclosed by Young "each predefined layout" at Abstract, and "wizard" and "predefined layout" at column 1 line 18.
- 48. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Young to modify Shumaker. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated reduce AutoCAD design time by beginning with a predefined layout or template, thus eliminating "repetitious editorial changes" per Young column 2 line 10. Additionally, the use of templates tends to standardize the designs, and thus increase productivity and reduce errors.
- 49. <u>Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)</u> as being unpatentable over Shumaker in view of Young.
- 50. In claim 11, "displaying a plurality of design dialog boxes based upon the selecting step, the design dialog boxes providing prompts to guide selection of the components of the facility and receiving input design information in accordance with the dialog boxes" is disclosed by Shumaker page 535 "Programmable dialog boxes can be used to completely customize the interface of your AutoLISP programs... Using dialog boxes improves efficiency and reduces data entry errors".
- 51. In claim 12, "retrieving a plurality of attributes corresponding to the symbols" is disclosed by Shumaker page 386, "In ASE, a link is a connection between a graphic object in the AutoCAD drawing and a row from a table within a database. You can select an object in the drawing, such as a computer, and have immediate access to all of the information stored in the database about that computer. Using ASE and the ASE LINKS command, you can create, delete, view and edit links" and page 389 "displayable attributes".

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/545,455

Art Unit: 2123

52. In claim 13, "processing the plurality of attributes using at least one of a database application and a spreadsheet application" is disclosed by Shumaker page 386 "database".

- the overlaid symbols and the corresponding attributes" is disclosed by Shumaker page 323 "materials list" and page 386 "database". Note that in the context of AutoCAD, the term "materials list" at page 323 has a very specific meaning (the types of materials used). Alternately, in the construction industry, the term "materials list" indicates a list of parts and quantities that will be needed for a construction, and would be contained in the "database" referenced at page 386. It is not clear which type of "materials list" is intended by the Applicant, but both types are disclosed by Shumaker.
- 54. In claim 15, "at least one of a utility facility, a water facility, and a gas facility" is disclosed by Shumaker page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings".
- 55. MOTIVATION FOR CLAIMS 11-15. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Young to modify Shumaker. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated reduce AutoCAD design time by beginning with a predefined layout or template, thus eliminating "repetitious editorial changes" per Young column 2 line 10. Additionally, the use of templates tends to standardize the designs, and thus increase productivity and reduce errors.
- 56. <u>Claim 16 is rejected</u> under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shumaker in view of Young.
- 57. Claim 16 is an independent "computer readable medium" claim with 5 limitations, labeled by the Examiner for clarity.
- 58. [1]-"retrieving a plurality of symbols from a symbol database is disclosed by Shumaker page 481 Figure 12-2 "ANSI MechanicalTM", and page 486 Figure 21.7 "Symbols", and page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings", and page 478 "image tile menu of a symbol library that you use in a specific discipline of drafting or design... Structural... Piping... Electrical..."
- 59. [3]-"associating the symbols with the selected facility layout" is disclosed by Shumaker page 477 "insert a variety of blocks, or drawing files of symbols. These symbols can be used for any drawing discipline that you use."

Art Unit: 2123

- 60. [4]-"overlaying the symbols onto the selected facility layout" is disclosed by Shumaker page 477 "insert a variety of blocks, or drawing files of symbols. These symbols can be used for any drawing discipline that you use."
- 61. [5]-"generating a facility drawing set based upon the overlaying step" is disclosed by Shumaker page 477 "insert a variety of blocks, or drawing files of symbols. These symbols can be used for any drawing discipline that you use."
- 62. Shumaker does not expressly disclose the remaining limitation.
- 63. [2]-"based upon a selected facility layout among a plurality of standard facility layouts, each of the standard facility layouts corresponding to a plurality of symbols, the symbols representing components of the facility" is disclosed by Young "each predefined layout" at Abstract, and "wizard" and "predefined layout" at column 1 line 18.
- 64. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Young to modify Shumaker. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated reduce AutoCAD design time by beginning with a predefined layout or template, thus eliminating "repetitious editorial changes" per Young column 2 line 10. Additionally, the use of templates tends to standardize the designs, and thus increase productivity and reduce errors.
- 65. <u>Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)</u> as being unpatentable over Shumaker in view of Young.
- 66. In claim 17, "displaying a plurality of design dialog boxes, the design dialog boxes providing prompts to guide selection of the components of the facility; and receiving input design information in accordance with the dialog boxes" is disclosed by Shumaker page 535 "Programmable dialog boxes can be used to completely customize the interface of your AutoLISP programs... Using dialog boxes improves efficiency and reduces data entry errors".
- 67. In claim 18, "retrieving a plurality of attributes corresponding to the symbols" is disclosed by Shumaker page 386, "In ASE, a link is a connection between a graphic object in the AutoCAD drawing and a row from a table within a database. You can select an object in the drawing, such as a computer, and have immediate access to all of the information stored

Application/Control Number: 09/545,455 Page 10

Art Unit: 2123

in the database about that computer. Using ASE and the ASE LINKS command, you can create, delete, view and edit links" and page 389 "displayable attributes".

- 68. In claim 19, "processing the plurality of attributes using at least one of a database application and a spreadsheet application" is disclosed by Shumaker page 386 "database".
- 69. In claim 20, "generating at least one of a cost estimate and a materials list based upon the overlaid symbols and the corresponding attributes" is disclosed by Shumaker page 323 "materials list" and page 386 "database". Note that in the context of AutoCAD, the term "materials list" at page 323 has a very specific meaning (the types of materials used). Alternately, in the construction industry, the term "materials list" indicates a list of parts and quantities that will be needed for a construction, and would be contained in the "database" referenced at page 386. It is not clear which type of "materials list" is intended by the Applicant, but both types are disclosed by Shumaker.
- 70. In claim 21, "at least one of a utility facility, a water facility, and a gas facility" is disclosed by Shumaker page 486 Figure 21.8 "isometric process piping drawings".
- 71. MOTIVATION FOR CLAIMS 17-21. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Young to modify Shumaker. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated reduce AutoCAD design time by beginning with a predefined layout or template, thus eliminating "repetitious editorial changes" per Young column 2 line 10. Additionally, the use of templates tends to standardize the designs, and thus increase productivity and reduce errors.

Additional Cited Prior Art

- 72. The following US patents or publications are hereby cited as prior art, but have not been used for rejection. Applicant should review these carefully before responding to this office action.
- 73. US Patent 5,764,533 disclosed "predefined layout" at column 1 line 40.

Conclusion

74. All claims stand rejected under 35 USC 103, and under 35 USC 112 first paragraph.

Communication

75. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eduardo Garcia-Otero whose telephone number is 703-305-0857. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 8:00 PM. If

Art Unit: 2123

attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska, can be reached at (703) 305-9704. The fax phone number for this group is 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

* * * *

LEW TEST THE TANKER